

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,840	02/13/2002	Ken Anderson	495812001400	9040
7590 09/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Robert E. Scheid			JUBA JR, JOHN	
Morrison & Foo	erster LLP			
425 Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482			2872	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/075,840	ANDERSON, KEN				
,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Juba, Jr.	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>54 - 58</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-4,7,9-11,14,36,39-45,47,48,52-58,60 and 61</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 37, 38, 46, 49-51, and 59.						
Claim(s).withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	The drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 05/24/2004.						
10. Other:						

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed amendment of claims 1 and 37 raises a new issue as to when the data offset component is detected and as to whether the prior art would motivate FUJI XEROX to detect the offset component at any other time. Proposed amendment to claims 8 and 50 raises a new issue as to whether a lens is required or desired in the prior art either to image the page of data onto a data array or to condense the offset component onto a single detector. Claim 12 would lack a period at the end thereof.

JOHN JUBA, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER